# IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TENNESSEE

# AT JACKSON

## **NOVEMBER 1997 SESSION**

STATE OF TENNESSEE,  Appellee,  VS.  DAVID S. PRENTICE,  Appellant.	) NO. 02C01-9611-CR-00425 ) SHELBY COUNTY ) HON. JAMES C. BEASLEY, JR., JUDGE ) (Habitual Motor Vehicle Offender)
FOR THE APPELLANT:	FOR THE APPELLEE: JOHN KNOX WALKUP
A. C. WHARTON, JR. Shelby County Public Defender	Attorney General and Reporter
WALKER GWINN Assistant Public Defender 201 Poplar Avenue, Suite 201 Memphis, Tennessee 38103	CLINTON J. MORGAN Assistant Attorney General 450 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37243-0493
	WILLIAM L. GIBBONS District Attorney General
	LEE V. COFFEE Assistant District Attorney General 201 Poplar Avenue, Suite 301 Memphis, Tennessee 38103
OPINION FILED:	
AFFIRMED	
JOE G. RILEY, JUDGE	

### **OPINION**

Appellant, David S. Prentice, contends the Habitual Motor Vehicle Offender Act (HMVO Act) violates double jeopardy principles. On May 23, 1996, appellant was declared an Habitual Motor Vehicle Offender (HMVO) based upon appropriate prior convictions. His motion to dismiss based upon double jeopardy was denied.

We AFFIRM the order of the trial court.

## DOUBLE JEOPARDY AND THE HMVO ACT

State v. Conley, 639 S.W.2d 435, 437 (Tenn. 1982), found the double jeopardy defense to be unavailable to the HMVO declaration since the revocation of driving privileges is remedial and not punitive in nature. This reasoning has been followed in recent months by this Court. See State v. Watson, C.C.A. No. 02C01-9610-CR-00377 (Tenn. Crim. App. filed September 17, 1997, at Jackson); State v. Rowlett, C.C.A. No. 01C01-9605-CC-00211 (Tenn. Crim. App. filed August 22, 1997, at Nashville); State v. Spears, C.C.A. No. 02C01-9606-CR-00197 (Tenn. Crim. App. filed July 10, 1997, at Jackson). We see no reason to deviate from these holdings.

### **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, we AFFIRIVI the judgment of the trial court.	
	JOE G. RILEY, JUDGE
CONCUR:	
GARY R. WADE, JUDGE	
DAVID C HAVES ILIDGE	
DAVID G. HAYES, JUDGE	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The notice of appeal was untimely filed; however, we waive the timely filing requirement. Tenn. R. Crim. P. 4(a).